

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,692	09/17/2001		Peter X. Ma	UMJ-105-B (UM1667)	9241	
29296	7590	03/08/2004		EXAMINER		
JULIA CH			SZEKELY, PETER A			
		IATES, P.C. R RD. SUITE 109	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TROY, MI			1714			

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>I.</i> /		
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/936,692	MA ET AL.			
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter Szekely	1714			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
There final r condi	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' iion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application	in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
Exhave be 37 CFF (b) abo	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent (1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Ission and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPS 136(a) and the appropriate extens to fee. The appropriate extension to the final Office action; or (2) as s	EP sion fee fee under set forth in		
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		=			
2. 🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a	) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b	) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplif	ying the		
(d	)   they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT pla	ce the		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were nev	wly		
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			n		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· ·				
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 32-49.					
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
			Peter Szekely Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 1714

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/936,692

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: There is no mention of "substantially hydrophobic copolymer" in the specification. The limits of "substantially hydrophobic" are not defined either. A "substantially hydrophobic copolymer" has not been considered previously..